

THE RAGGED EDGE RADIO BROADCAST WORLDWIDE

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AVATARS AND HUMAN DESTINY

As technology continues to rapidly advance, individuals and society are profoundly changed. So too are the tools used to measure this universe and, therefore, our understanding of reality improves. **Boundaries of Self and Reality Online** examines the idea that technological advances associated with the Internet are moving us in multiple domains toward various "edges." These edges range from self, to society, to relationships, and even to the very nature of reality. Boundaries are dissolving and we are redefining the elements of identity. The book begins with explorations of the digitally constructed self and the relationship between the individual and technological reality. Then, the focus shifts to society at large and includes a contribution from Chinese researchers about the isolated Chinese Internet. The later chapters of the book explore digital reality at large, including discussions on virtual reality, Web consciousness, and digital physics.

Bill Gates isn't the only one afraid of the possible outcome of AI. Elon Musk, superstar of the technological world, has had a lot to say about artificial intelligence. "I think we should be very careful about artificial intelligence. If I had to guess at what our biggest existential threat is, it's probably that. So we need to be very careful" (Musk). Musk has kept a close eye on the developments in the AI field, which can't be hard to do with his influence. So when Elon Musk says things like the following statement about AI, it's something to think about. "With artificial intelligence we are summoning the demon. In all those stories where there's the guy with the pentagram and the holy water, it's like – yeah, he's sure he can control the demon. Doesn't work out." He's right, because there is so much we could gain from AI, but we aren't entirely sure of the costs yet.

https://www.theodysseyonline.com/the-dangers-of-artificial-intelligence

Hava Siegelmann, Microsystems Technology Office Programs Manager At DARPA Every technology can be used for bad. I think it's in the hands of the ones that use it. I don't think there is a bad technology, but there will be bad people. It comes down to who has access to the technology and how we use it. https://futurism.com/artificial-intelligence-experts-fear

- 1. PART ONE WHAT IS AN 'AVATAR'?
- 2. PART TWO WHAT IS HUMAN CONSCIOUSNESS?
- 3. PART THREE HUMAN DESTINY STARING DEATH IN THE ...
- 4. PART FOUR WHAT IS INDESTRUCTIBLE IRREVERSIBLE IMMORTALITY
- 5. PART FIVE GOD IMMEASURABLE KNOWABLE FACE TO FACE

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AVATARS AND HUMAN DESTINY

1.PART SIX A

WHAT IS AN 'AVATAR', AI THE NEO BODY AND THE FINAL AVATAR?

¹⁶ He alone has immortality, living in unapproachable light, whom no one has seen, nor can see. To Him be honor and everlasting power. Amen. 1 Timothy 6

THE TRANSHUMANIST SCRAPBOOK: AVATARS AND ROBOT SURROGATES FOR SOLDIERS

In the diabolical alchemy that is transhumanism in most of its manifestations, the latest incarnation of insanity to come out of our friends at the Diabolically Apocalyptic Research Projects Agency, or DARPA, (again, my thanks to Mr. J.B. for that wonderful phrase), is the idea that soldiers should be given avatar robots to do the actual fighting:

DARPA Wants to Give Soldiers Robot Surrogates, Avatar Style

"In the movie *Avatar*, humans hooked themselves up to brain-machine-interface pods with which they could control giant genetically engineered human-alien hybrids. It's just a movie, but DARPA, the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, doesn't care: It wants this kind of system to be real, just replace "giant genetically engineered human-alien hybrids" with "robots."

"In its <u>2013 budget</u>, DARPA has decided to pour US \$7 million into the "Avatar Project," whose goal is the following: "develop interfaces and algorithms to enable a soldier to effectively partner with a semi-autonomous bi-pedal machine and allow it to act as the soldier's surrogate." Whoa.

"That word "surrogate" implies something more than just <u>telepresence</u>, and indeed DARPA does specify that it is looking for "key advancements in telepresence and remote operation of a ground

system." But we're perfectly free to speculate on what those "key advancements" are, which again comes back to "surrogate." To me, the implication is that there's going to be some technology that effectively puts the user "inside" the remote system, whether it's through immersive VR or exoskeleton or some sort of direct brain control. Either of these things is a realistic possibility, especially if DARPA's tossing a couple million at the problem." (Emphasis in the original)

It's not a crazy idea if you consider the rise in the use of AI assistants like Microsoft's Cortana, Amazon's Alexa, and Apple's Siri. Kirwin's core hypothesis is that to make these kinds of AIs truly engaging, they need some form of anthropomorphic embodiment.

"Alexa is embodied but in a horribly un-engaging black cylinder. The reason you want embodiment in a human-like form is because as human beings we like to connect with other human beings," he told me in a conversation for *Singularity Hub*.

The AVATAR PROJECT http://2045.com/project/avatar

Of course, this is easier said than done. But the technology has already been established.

ACCORDING TO ITSKOV, "WE ALREADY KNOW THAT IT IS POSSIBLE TO CREATE AN AUTONOMOUS SYSTEM OF BRAIN NUTRITION AND PRESERVE NERVE CONNECTIONS SO THAT THE BRAIN DOESN'T DEGRADE OR DIE. HENCE, IT IS POSSIBLE TO TRANSPLANT A HUMAN HEAD ONTO AN ARTIFICIAL BODY."

VIDEO ONTHE NEW AGE AVATAR BODY

The Russia Cosmist that proclaimed that the ancient gods are guiding the scientists to obtain immortality and that the old gods want a return of the godmen. /https://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=2045+Project+Avatar&&view=detail&mid=D6A252D5
F15743A5B279D6A252D5F15743A5B279&&FORM=VRDGAR&ru=%2Fvideos%2Fsearch%3Fq%3
D2045%2BProject%2BAvatar%26FORM%3DVDMHRS

More videos on 2045.. new age/avatar body and the development of AI Avatar body

¹² that we, who were the first to hope in Christ, should live for the praise of His glory. ¹³ In Him you also, after hearing the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation, and after believing in Him, were sealed with the promised Holy Spirit, ¹⁴ who *is the guarantee of our inheritance* until the redemption of the purchased possession, to the praise of His glory. Eph 1

⁵¹ Listen, I tell you a mystery: We shall not all sleep, but we shall all be changed. ⁵² In a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, at the last trumpet, for the trumpet will sound, the dead will be raised incorruptible, and we shall be changed. ⁵³ For this corruptible will put on incorruption, and this mortal will put on immortality. 1 Corinthians 15

 17 Now to the King eternal, immortal, invisible, to $^{[e]}$ God who alone is wise, be honor and glory forever and ever. Amen. 1 Timothy 1

 16 He alone has immortality, living in unapproachable light, whom no one has seen, nor can see. To Him be honor and everlasting power. Amen. 1 Timothy 6

INTRO

PART 6 B

- 1. AN AVATAR
 - My new shell
 - Does hells kitchen have a counterfeit?
 - What kind of new body.... the need for a new body to live?

PART 6 C

- 2. A TRANSHUMANIST AVATAR
 - The goal to defeat death
 - The transhumanist global tech quest
 - The progress of a new body
 - The avatar that fits like a glove

PART 6 D

- 3. FROM SARCOPHAGUS TO RITUAL RESURRECTION TO AVATAR
 - The quest to live
 - The counterfeit longevity
 - Rituals to live again
 - Soul cryonics ... body jumping

PART 6 E

- 4. THE NEED FOR LONGEVITY.... AN ESCAPE FROM DEATH
 - In dying you shall die
 - Death satan and the keys
 - The death code
 - The new immortal gene splicing

PART 6 F

- 5. THE FINAL AVATAR
 - From Nephilim to homosatanas
 - The 'therion' avatar
 - The coming interface of ... god avatar and spirit

The spirit in the machine?

PART 6 G

6. COUNTERFEIT TO THE 'THE COMING RACE OF IMMORTALS'

- What they never had...
- What they never had.. they cannot obtain
- What they never had ... they cannot give

"...they cannot give what they do not have" RD

>>>>

- a) The real race of GOD GIVEN ... immortality / the physics of a God given new body
- b) The physics of man
- c) The physics of man with non human enhancement
- d) The physics of God in Christ
- e) Eph. 1 the deposit that is the 'presence of the future'

'....but is now revealed by the appearing of our Savior, Jesus Christ, who has abolished death and has brought life and immortality to light through the gospel, 2 Timothy 1

Questions to Answer 1. Why is it important to meditate on the attributes and Triune nature of God? 2. What should a study of God's attributes lead us to do? 3. How has this study of God's metaphysical attributes changed or deepened your thinking of God? 4. How has this study of God's non-moral characteristic changed or deepened your thinking of God? 5. How has this study motivated you to emulate God's moral attributes? Appendix: An Argument for the Existence and Nature of God God is the ultimate reality or ground of all existence or being. As such, we are able

to argue to the existence of God from His effects or creation. In so doing, we are also able to account for what God must be like. The Apostle Paul acknowledged this possibility in Romans 1:19–20: Because that which is known about God is evident within them; for God made it evident to them. For since the creation of the world His invisible attributes, His eternal power and divine nature, have been clearly seen, being understood through what has been made, so that they are without excuse. The overall argument we make is as follows: 1) God exists as Pure Actuality (with no potentiality). 2) Pure Actuality entails all the perfect attributes. 3) Therefore, God (alone) is all the perfect attributes. God Exists as Pure Actuality While there are many arguments for the existence of God, the following brief argument from creation to a Creator, also known as a cosmological argument, serves our purposes in establishing God's existence and nature. 1. Something Exists (e.g., I do). 2. Nothing cannot produce something. 3. Therefore something exists eternally and necessarily. 4. I am not a necessary and eternal being (since I change). 5. Therefore both God (a Necessary Being) and I (a

Geisler, Norman L.. The Doctrine of God (NGIM Guide to Bible Doctrine Book 2) (pp. 104-106). Bastion Books. Kindle Edition.

Necessity

Necessity (Gen. 1:1) follows from God's pure actuality. 1. God is Pure Act with no potentiality. 2. What has no potentiality for nonexistence must exist. 3. Therefore, God is Necessary existence (=necessity). Eternality (Ps. 90:2) follows from God's pure actuality. 1. God is Pure Act with no potentiality. 2. Whatever is temporal has potentiality. 3. Therefore, God is not temporal (=eternal). Immutability (Num. 23:19) follows from pure actuality. 1. God is Pure Act with no potentiality. 2. Whatever changes has potentiality to chance. 3. Therefore, God cannot change (=immutable). Impassibility (Num. 23:19) follows from absolute perfection. 1. Passion involves a desire for what is lacking. 2. But God lacks nothing, He is absolutely perfect. 3. Therefore, God cannot have passion (=impassible). Infinity (Ps. 147:5) follows from God's pure actuality. 1. God is Pure Act with no potentiality. 2. Potency is what limits actuality. 3. Therefore, Pure Actuality has no limits (=infinity). Immateriality (John 4:24) is implied in God's pure actuality. 1. God is Pure Act with no potentiality. 2. Matter has potential to change and does changes. 3. Therefore, God cannot be material (=immateriality). Omnipotence (Luke 1:37) follows from God's pure actuality. 1. God is Pure Act with no potentiality. 2. What has no potentiality, has no limits at all. 3. Hence, God has no limits of any kind. 4. But not to have all-power is a limit. 5. Hence, God is unlimited in His power (=omnipotence). Omnipresence (Ps. 139:7–10) follows from God's simplicity. 1. God as simple, has no parts. 2. What has no parts cannot be partly anywhere. 3. Hence, God is not partly anywhere, He is wholly everywhere (=omnipresence). Omniscience (Ps. 147:4-5) follows from God's

Pure Actuality. 1. God is Pure Act with no potentiality. 2. Potentiality is a limitation in His Being. 3. Hence, God has no limitations in His Being 4. But God's knowledge is His Being. 5. Hence, God has no limitations in knowledge (=omniscience). Omnisapience (Rom. 16:27) is based on God's omniscience, omnibenevolence and omnipotence. 1. God knows all ends and all means (omniscience). 2. God will choose the best means to the best ends (omnibenevolence). 3. God is all powerful to achieve the best means to the best ends (omnipotence). 4. Therefore, God is all—wise (omnisapience). Life — Immortality (Jer. 10:10) follows from God's pure actuality. 1. God is

Pure Act with no potentiality. 2. Life is a form of actuality (being). 3. Only life can give life. 4. There cannot be an infinite regress of life receiving life. 5. Therefore, God is Life itself, without receiving it (=life-immortal). Unity (Deut. 6:4) is implied in God's pure actuality. 1. Potentiality is required to differ (to lack what another does not have). 2. God is Pure Act with no potentiality. 3. There cannot be two or more Pure Acts (since Pure Act cannot differ from Pure Act without potentiality). 4. Therefore, God (Pure Act) is absolutely One (=unity). Omnibenevolence (1 John 4:16) is implied in God's simplicity. 1. God is simple in His essence. 2. Whatever a simple Being is, it is wholly and completely. 3. Love—Goodness is of the essence of God. 4. Therefore, God is wholly Love—Goodness (omnibenevolence). God (Theism alone) is the Perfect Attributes As shown above we have argued for the true God of the Bible, but not everyone has the same view of God. So it is important to distinguish the correct view form incorrect views of God. The only correct view of God is Theism: Theism says there is an infinite personal creator who exists beyond the world, Who

created the world out of nothing (ex nihilo) and yet is the sustaining Cause of the world Who can intervene in it. Deism says that God created the world but denies miracles. It is God minus miracles. In Deism, God does not do miracles either because He can't or He won't. But certainly any being that can create the universe can intervene supernaturally in any way. Which is harder, creating something from nothing or making something from something? If God can create from nothing, He certainly can immediately change water into wine. If God won't do miracles, then we must ask why God would not intervene to reveal himself to a lost creation as any father would to save his children. Finite godism says there is one limited god or Polytheism says there are many limited gods. The problem here is that any finite being needs a Creator and this god(s) is just a finite changing being. Hence, one finite god or many are not ultimate and need a Creator to account for their existence. Pantheism (Pan-all and theism-God) says God is creation. God is all and all is God. The problem with Pantheism is that all Pantheists believe they changed from not knowing they (and everything else) is God to knowing they (and everything else is God) through enlightenment. But Pantheists believe God does not change. Hence, one thing the pantheists should understand, if he wakes up one morning believing he is God, he is not! Panentheism (Panall en-in theism-God) says God is in creation as a soul is in a body. Panentheists say God has two poles one that is unchanging (potential pole) and another that changes (actual pole). God, according to Panentheists, is learning and changing; literally God has a body (=the world) that grows. But if that is the case, as we have pointed out, the God of Panentheism cannot be ultimate because as actually finite, limited, and changing He needs a cause outside of Himself to account for His existence.

Geisler, Norman L.. The Doctrine of God (NGIM Guide to Bible Doctrine Book 2) (pp. 111-112). Bastion Books. Kindle Edition.

https://www.amazon.com/Knowledge-Holy-W-Tozer-

ebook/dp/B07V3PXK96/ref=tmm kin swatch 0? encoding=UTF8&qid=&sr=

